

To Paul Hoch from Harold Weisberg reur Gale memo comments of 2/17/78 2/25/78

By and large we have the same interests and beliefs. On the latter, we do differ in some details but in details only.

Be interested if anything else develops.

That sensitive info was withheld from HQ files is my experience. However, it was not always FO initiative and decision exclusively. I believe the FOs are an HQ memory hole. However, the FOs also did not follow HQ directives literally and sometimes not at all.

On spacings: try using a sharp eye or a regular eye with a foles to another line and then trying out what you think fits the same space.

Your p.3 coment on Gales "...since we did not know definititely whether or not he had any intelligence assignments at that time" (your emphasis and mine) is at least justified. However, from my familiarity with stilted FBI lingo I suggest you not forget that this could also be read, with the 9/30/64 date in mind, as " we did not at that time know..." Maybe not but suggest you be aware of the special FBI concept of language.

I can't go after the missing attachments. But I have started after the HQ and Dallas FO files. Jim may have filed on N.O. before he left. "r Dallas. One or the other if he had enough time.(Your last graf.) No objection to your duplicating but do you really want to? The offer I made prior to obtaining the 1/18/78 release could apply and it would certainly cost you much less.